

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/778,627	02/07/2001	Arihiro Takeda	1324.6521	9611
24978 75	90 05/03/2004		EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			CHUNG, DAVID Y	
300 S WACKE	R DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/778,627	TAKEDA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	David Y. Chung	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app	pears on the c ver sheet with th	c rrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply th places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Th fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T e date on which the petition under 37 CI of extension and the corresponding arm f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the fee.	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	, ,		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	1
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			l

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) KENNETH PARKER PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims have been amended to recite that the singular point is formed above or below the singular point control portion, which raises new issues requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected were not allowable over the prior art.